

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/029,300	IWASAKI, TAKAO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacob P. Rohwer	2625	

All Participants:

(1) Jacob P. Rohwer.

(2) Mark Zimmerman.

Date of Interview: 5 April 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11, 17 regarding Examiner's Amendment

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Phillip J Articola.

(4) _____

Time: Morning

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner's Amendment in claims 11 and 17 line 8, in reference to expanding one of a predetermined variety of colors, examiner asked to add the word "only" before predetermined so that claim would read expanding "only one of a predetermined variety of colors." Attorney contacted client and returned a phone call on 6 April 2006 disclosing that client did not agree to examiner's amendment and further examination should be taken accordingly...